

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/934,002	08/21/2001	Satoshi Seo	SEL 272	7710	
•	590 08/21/2003			9	
COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD. SUITE 2850 200 WEST ADAMS STREET			EXAMINER		
			GARRETT, DAWN L		
CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1774		
			DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Cation No. Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/934,002	SEO, SATOSHI			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dawn Garrett	1774			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	-				
b) Lack The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,2,8-10,12,14-17,19 and 21</u> .		•			
Claim(s) objected to: 3,5 and 7.	•				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4, 6, 11, 13, 18, and 20</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Proposed claim 4 is of a scope not previously considered, because the claim does not require the hole transporting layer include a polymer material. Accordingly, further search and consideration would be required.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment has not been entered and accordingly the rejections of record are respectfully maintained.

> Marie R. Januitely MARIE YAMNITZKY PRIMARY EXAMINER